

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,253	04/01/2004	Julio A. Abusleme	108910-00129	6955
4372 7590 11/27/2007 ARENT FOX LLP 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			ZEMEL, IRINA SOPJIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent\_Mail@arentfox.com

* ***		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/814,253	ABUSLEME ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Irina S. Zemel	1796		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DISTRICT D	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
_					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 S				
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
الـارد	closed in accordance with the practice under				
		Ex parte Quayre, 1999 O.	D. 11, 400 O.G. 215.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
	Claim(s) 1-5,7 and 10-24 is/are pending in the	• •			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 1-5,7,10-24 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement			
ال ا	are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• • •		
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	*	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price				
	application from the International Burea		J		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	et received.		
Attachmen		_			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-5, 7, 10-16 and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable US Patent publication 20010003124 to Zolotnitsky et al., (hereinafter "Zolotnitsky").

The rejection stands as per reason of record previously stated as applicable to claims 6 and 8.

As previously discussed, the reference expressly discloses 90 mole % of CTFE in [0024], and further expressly discloses up to 15 % of fillers such as PTFE. The applicants arguments that the reference excludes 90 mole % of CFTE in the copolymer are not convincing as such amount is expressly disclosed in the reference. Thus, choosing all the claimed ratios and amounts from expressly disclosed ranges would have been obvious in the absence of unexpected results that can be attributed to the claimed numerical limitations.

The invention as claimed thus, would have been clearly obvious from the disclosure of the Zolotnitsly reference.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-5, 7, 10-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zolotnitsky in combination with US Patent 6,107,393 to Abusleme et al., (of record, hereinafter "Abusleme '393").

Art Unit: 1796

The rejection stands as per reason of record.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9-10-2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants main new argument is that the claims as amended recite the language "consisting of", which, according to the applicants arguments, preclude the presence of ethylene. This arguments is not persuasive. While the recited language "consisting of" does limit the components of the claimed compositions to the two mandatory components a and b, and one optional component c, the claimed language "consisting of" has absolutely no excluding effect of the actual composition of the recited component a. The claimed component a is still recited as a clorotrifluoroethylene (CTFE) polymer "containing" some amount of CTFE. This language allows for the presence of any co-monomers in the CTFE polymer in addition to the required CTFE co-monomer.

In the previous office action the examiner addressed this point and, as stated by the applicants on page 7 of their response, the examiner acknowledged the fact that the copolymers disclosed in Zolotnitsky require the presence of ethylene co-monomer. This is still so, and the copolymers disclosed in Zolotnitsky necessarily require the presence of ethylene co-monomer. However, the claimed copolymer, or CTFE polymers that containing one required co-monomer, i.e., CTFE, do NOT, contrary to the applicants assertion, preclude the presence of such co-monomer. As stated above, this point was addressed in great detail in the previous office actions, and the claim language, even as amended, while precluding additional components in the

10/814,253

Art Unit: 1796

composition, still does not preclude the presence of ethylene as a comonomer in the claimed polymer. This is a matter of a simple claim interpretation that is well established by the courts, and it is completely proper for the examiner to interpret the clamied copolymer as open to ANY additional monomers, including ethylene.

All other argument, i.e., the arguments that the claimed invention solves te technical problem, which is to find CTFE based copolymer compositions that can be foamed without using additional foaming agents, have been earlier presented and have been answered by the examiner in the previous office actions. Once again, the patentability of a composition, according to US practice, is based on what the composition is, and not what technical problem is solved. The composition as claimed is a two component (optionally with another inert components of known function) composition containing a copolymer and a second component, namely a nucleating agent (specified in claim 4 as PTFE). Such compositions are, again, would have been clearly obvious from the disclosure of Zolotnitsky (alone or in combination with the secondary reference.). Once again, whether Zolotnitsly recognized the technical problem solved by the applicants or whether the Zolotnitsky reference named the identical compound (Polymist), the same name as the applicant, i.e., nucleating agent, is irrelevant, as irrelevant is the presence of additional components in the compositions of Zolotnistky, unless clearly shown that the compositions of Zolotnistky are INCAPBLE of being foamed or the foams obtained from compositions of Zolotnistky have so different properties that the claimed foam (and that would be only applicable to the foam claims, not the foamable composition claims) properties are unexpected.

10/814,253

Art Unit: 1796

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irina S. Zemel whose telephone number is (571)272-0577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571)272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/814,253 Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Irina S. Zemel Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1796

ISZ